

Rolle's Theorem  $\Rightarrow$  Let,  $f(x)$  be a poly. with real coefficients.  
Between two consecutive real roots of the eqn  
 $f(x) = 0$  there is at least one real root of the eqn  $f'(x) = 0$ .

Proof: - Let,  $\alpha, \beta$  be two consecutive real roots of the eqn  $f(x) = 0$  with multiplicity  $r$  and  $s$  respectively. Then  $(x-\alpha)^r(x-\beta)^s$  is a factor of the poly.  $f(x)$ .

Let,  $f(x) = (x-\alpha)^r(x-\beta)^s \phi(x)$ . proof not required.

When  $\phi(\alpha) \neq 0$  and  $\phi(\beta) \neq 0$ , because otherwise the assumed multiplicity of  $\alpha, \beta$  would be contradicted. Also,  $\phi(\alpha)$  and  $\phi(\beta)$  have the same sign, because otherwise  $\phi(x) = 0$  would have a real root between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and consequently  $f(x) = 0$  would have a real root between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which is a contradiction.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } f'(x) &= r(x-\alpha)^{r-1}(x-\beta)^s \phi(x) + (x-\alpha)^r \cdot s(x-\beta)^{s-1} \phi(x) \\ &\quad + (x-\alpha)^r(x-\beta)^s \phi'(x) \\ &= (x-\alpha)^{r-1}(x-\beta)^{s-1} \psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\psi(x) = r(x-\beta)\phi(x) + s(x-\alpha)\phi(x) + (x-\alpha)(x-\beta)\phi'(x)$

$$\therefore \psi(\alpha) = r(\alpha-\beta)\phi(\alpha)$$

$$\psi(\beta) = s(\beta-\alpha)\phi(\beta)$$

$\because \phi(\alpha)$  and  $\phi(\beta)$  are of the same sign,  $\psi(\alpha)$  and  $\psi(\beta)$  are of different signs and this shows that the eqn  $\psi(x) = 0$  and consequently the eqn  $f'(x) = 0$  has at least one real root.

which is the required range of values of  $k'$

(85) 3

## Descartes's Rule of signs : $\rightarrow$

NOTE :  $\rightarrow$  In a seq. of real nos.  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$ , none of which is zero, the signs of two consecutive terms may be same or different. when same sign occurs we say that the elements show a continuation of signs, when the signs are different we say that the elements show a variation of signs.

For example, in the seq.  $1, 3, -5, -7, 9, -4, 10, 2$  there are 3 continuations and 4 variations of signs.

If some of the elements of a seq. be zero, we ignore their presence in the seq. and count the number of continuations and variations of signs.

For example, in the seq.  $1, 3, -2, 0, -3, 0, 4, 0, 0, 7$  there are 3 continuations and 2 variations of signs.

Statement :  $\rightarrow$  The number of +ve roots of an eqn  $f(x)=0$  with real coefficients does not exceed the number of variations of signs in the seq. of the coefficients of  $f(x)$  and it is less by an even number.

the no. of +ve roots, then  $v = n + 2k$ , where  $k$  is a nonnegative integer.

NOTE:  $\rightarrow$  The no. of (-ve) roots of an eq<sup>n</sup>  $f(x) = 0$  with real coefficients does not exceed the no. of variations of signs in the seq. of coefficients of  $f(-x)$  and if less, it less by an even number.

2) If  $f(x) = 0$  be an eq<sup>n</sup> of degree  $n$  with real coefficients having no zero root and  $v, v'$  are respectively the number of variations of signs in the seq. of coefficients of  $f(x)$  and  $f(-x)$ .  $v + v' < n$ , then the eq<sup>n</sup>  $f(x) = 0$  has at least  $n - (v + v')$  complex roots.

3) If all the roots of the eq<sup>n</sup>  $f(x) = 0$  be non-zero real and  $v, v'$  are respectively the no. of variations of signs in the seq. of coefficients of  $f(x)$  and  $f(-x)$  then the eq<sup>n</sup>  $f(x) = 0$  has  $v$  positive roots and  $v'$  negative roots.

between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

DTF  $\rightarrow$   $f(x) = 0$  between two consecutive real roots  $\alpha', \beta'$  of the eqn  $f'(x) = 0$  there is either no real root or at most one real root of the eqn  $f(x) = 0$  and such a root must be simple.

Proof:- Because if  $\exists$  two real roots of the eqn  $f(x) = 0$  say,  $\alpha, \beta$  in between  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$ , then by the th. there would exist a real root  $\gamma$  of the eqn  $f'(x) = 0$  in between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and this would contradict that  $\alpha', \beta'$  are consecutive.

Such a root of  $f(x) = 0$  must be simple because a multiple root of the eqn  $f(x) = 0$  must also be a root of  $f'(x) = 0$ .

Let  $\alpha'$  be the least and  $\beta'$  be the greatest real root of the eqn  $f'(x) = 0$ , each of the intervals  $(-\infty, \alpha')$ ,  $(\beta', \infty)$  will contain either no real root or at most one real root of the eqn  $f(x) = 0$ .

3) The interval  $(\alpha', \beta')$  will contain no real root of the eqn  $f(x) = 0$  if  $f(\alpha') f(\beta') > 0$  and will contain just one real root of the eqn  $f(x) = 0$  if  $f(\alpha') f(\beta') < 0$ .

4) If all the roots of the eqn  $f(x) = 0$  be real and distinct, then all the roots of  $f'(x) = 0$  are also so. Any two consecutive roots of  $f'(x) = 0$  are separated by a root of